

MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

BUDGET AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

JOE SERNA, JR., CALEPA BUILDING

1001 I STREET

2ND FLOOR

COASTAL HEARING ROOM

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, MAY 8, 2002

1:30 P.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR, RPR
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
LICENSE NUMBER 10063

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION (916) 362-2345

APPEARANCES

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Jose Medina, Chairperson

Michael Paparian

STAFF

Mark Leary, Executive Director

Mary Carter, Staff Counsel

Terry Jordan, Deputy Director

Martha Gildart, Supervising Waste Management Engineer

Becky Williams

Roger Ikemoto

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION (916) 362-2345

INDEX

PAGE

A.	Deputy Director's Report	1
B.	Consideration of Concepts to be Funded from the Reallocation of Unused FY 2001/2002 Waste Tire Management Program Funds	10
	Motion	46
	Vote	46
C.	Consideration of Scope of Work for the Grant Writing Workshop and Outreach Contract	47
	Motion	51
	Vote	51
D.	Consideration of California State University Sacramento as Contractor for the Grant Writing Workshop and Outreach Contract	51
	Motion	51
	Vote	52
E.	Consideration of Scope of Work for the Education Assessment Project Contract	6
	Motion	9
	Vote	9
F.	Consideration of State Education and Environment Roundtable as Contractor for the Education Assessment Project Contract	9
	Motion	9
	Vote	10
G.	Consideration of the Scope of Work for the Environment Justice Opportunity Assessment and Analysis Contract	
H.	Consideration of the University of California at Santa Cruz as Contractor for the Environmental Justice Opportunity Assessment and Analysis Contract	
I.	Public Comment	52
	Adjournment	52
	Reporter's Certificate	53

PROCEEDINGS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Good afternoon. And welcome to the Budget and Administration Committee Meeting, for Wednesday, May the 8th.

To my right is Board Member Michael Paparian.

Do you have any ex partes at this time?

COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: None to report.

CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: And I have none to report at this time.

So we'll start with the Deputy Director's report.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Yes. Thank you.

Terry Jordan, for the record, the Administration and Finance Division.

Good afternoon, Committee Members, Chair.

I'd like to raise that because of time constraints and the fact that the staff, Becky Williams representing Trish Broddrick for Items E and F, need to leave to catch a plane. If we could take that out of order before Item B, that would be helpful.

Moving on. At this morning's Board agenda briefing, Chair Moulton-Patterson raised the issue of changing the Committee meeting with the agenda briefing. What we were talking about is looking at having the Committee -- this Committee's meeting at possibly 9:00 a.m. on Wednesday mornings and then the agenda briefing to

1 follow that.

2 And she was more concerned about timing. So I
3 thought that I would pose that to you, and maybe we could
4 lock that down and get it scheduled for the next Committee
5 meeting.

6 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Well, that makes more sense
7 for us to meet before the briefing along with the
8 Committees.

9 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Certainly. Okay.

10 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Board Member Paparian?

11 BOARD MEMBER PAPARIAN: Sounds good. Fine with
12 me.

13 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Thank you.

14 I just wanted to raise to the members that you
15 received a letter on April 30th from me with regards to
16 the 2001-2 fiscal year -- year-end closing events. There
17 are activities that are occurring. We're already
18 preparing for year-end closing, which we have to get ready
19 with regards to all the reports that have to be done to
20 Close our fiscal year, report to the State Controller's
21 office, to Department of Finance, and what we call our
22 year-end accounting statements.

23 With regards to that, there are certain
24 activities that the entire board needs to consider with
25 regards to submission of invoices, timing, et cetera. And

1 so I won't go into a lot of detail, but I just wanted to
2 throw that out there and remind you with regards to that
3 memo. And certainly we will keep you abreast of any
4 changes or any needs that we have with regards to the
5 year-end closing process.

6 This afternoon -- and, in fact, this was raised
7 this morning in the agenda briefing. This afternoon our
8 Executive Director sent an E-mail letter to the Board
9 members and to the executive staff with regards to an
10 update on the Board's funds -- fund conditions and the
11 general fund loans that are impacting them currently.
12 And, secondly, a recommended waiver of distributing a
13 Fiscal Year 2003&4 BCP solicitation letter.

14 Without going into too much detail right now --
15 and we will be available to answer questions after you've
16 had an opportunity to read the letter. We are
17 currently -- our funds are currently being utilized by the
18 State Controller's office to help with a cash-flow problem
19 with the general fund.

20 And, at this point in time, it is impacting the
21 majority of our funds, the most significant being the
22 Integrated Waste Management Account of \$5.1 million. So
23 I'd be happy to talk with you all after you have an
24 opportunity to read the letter.

25 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Thank you.

1 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: The executive office --
2 just as a note, the executive office is currently
3 conducting legislative director interviews, and we're
4 fairly well into the process.

5 In addition, today there are two legislative
6 hearings being held with regards to the budget for Fiscal
7 Year 2002&3. The first one occurred at 8:00 o'clock this
8 morning with the Assembly Subcommittee Number 3.
9 Chairwoman Pavley is in charge of that one. We had no
10 issues with that particular subcommittee; therefore, we
11 did not have to be involved.

12 However, on the Senate Subcommittee Number 2,
13 which is going to be happening this afternoon around 3:00
14 o'clock, we are on the agenda. They had some questions
15 with regards to the conversion technologies augmentation
16 that we were seeking. And we are prepared to be able to
17 respond to that.

18 Therefore, we will -- if this meeting is not over
19 with, Mark and I will to have to excuse ourselves to
20 attend that meeting.

21 Just as a heads up, the Governor's May revise
22 will be coming out. It's supposed to be released next
23 week. And obviously it's going to the Legislature. But
24 it will likely unveil some new direction for meeting the
25 \$20 billion deficit within the State right now.

1 And we currently are not aware of any impact on
2 this Board, with the exception of the current loans that
3 are happening.

4 And just to give you kind of a notification of
5 some future items that will be coming to the Committee and
6 to the Board in June, we're looking at having three items
7 scheduled with regards to grants for the June meeting.
8 And that includes a history and overview of the Waste
9 Board's grant programs, a consideration of options for
10 refining the grant scoring criteria and evaluation
11 process, and a discussion of a particular grant's issue
12 and some options for addressing procedurally how to look
13 at that. And it's also related to the Assembly Budget
14 Subcommittee Number 3 requirement of a supplemental report
15 on December 1st, 2002. So we'll be bringing those
16 forward.

17 And with that, that completes my report.

18 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Thank you.

19 Mr. Chair, if it's all right?

20 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Yes.

21 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: The very last point
22 you made, a grant's issue, somehow related to the
23 legislative requests. What is the issue?

24 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: It's procedurally
25 looking at the current practice of requiring permits or a

1 self-certification of permits.

2 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Okay. Thank you.

3 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Does that conclude your
4 report?

5 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: That concludes my
6 report. Thank you.

7 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Thank you for your report.

8 Before we proceed on to the next items, I just
9 wanted to make an announcement to turn off any pagers or
10 cell phones that you might have. And also for those
11 persons that wish to speak, we have speaker slips over on
12 this -- on the back of the room. So if you wish to speak,
13 please fill out the speaker slips and hand them in.

14 With that, we will take Items E and F out of
15 order. Item G has been pulled.

16 So if we could have Item E, please.

17 MS. WILLIAMS: Good afternoon. Thank you for
18 taking these items out of order.

19 My name is Becky Williams and I work at the
20 Office of Integrated Education. And I'm here today to
21 discuss with you Items E and F on the agenda.

22 At the April Board meeting the Board approved
23 Contract Concept 71, to conduct an assessment project that
24 is related to the unified education strategy, which is
25 being developed pursuant to SB 373, as well as a grant

1 program that is tied to that legislation as well.

2 We have now created a scope of work, and we're
3 bringing it forward for your approval, as well as a
4 recommendation for a contractor to receive the contract to
5 execute the project for the assessment.

6 And basically the assessment project is intended
7 to use proven evaluation methods that will assist us to
8 measure the impact of our services and our products on the
9 audiences that we serve. But another important objective
10 that we're going to try and achieve is to assess the
11 impact a school can have on its environmental management
12 systems, such as its recycling programs, composting
13 programs, and energy efficiency programs.

14 So out of this evaluation project, we hope to
15 generate critical information that will be used to report
16 to the Board as well as to the Legislature, which is
17 required by SB 373 in 2004.

18 And due to the extensive knowledge and background
19 of the contractor that we're recommending -- that would be
20 the State Education and Environmental Round Table -- we
21 are seeking to sole-source this contract, which will help
22 to expedite the processing of the contract and to meet
23 fiscal deadlines that I've been told that we're under in
24 terms of funding for this contract.

25 The first agenda item for the scope of work --

1 it's pretty straightforward. It's got about six tasks
2 involved within the scope, starting with meeting with
3 Board staff as well as the other consultants working on
4 the Unified Education Strategy Project; i.e., The ACORN
5 Group. We're going to research methodologies and choose
6 the best ones that fit with this project. The contractor
7 will create a detailed action plan, implement that action
8 plan, and conduct follow-through and follow-up in order to
9 get all the information that we need to then compile the
10 reports.

11 The project timeframe would hopefully begin July
12 1, and would carry through to June 2004, in time for us to
13 use the data for the reporting requirements pursuant to SB
14 373.

15 So the scope is again pretty basic and fairly
16 generic; but that is because this is so tied to the
17 unified education strategy and the grant program that we
18 are currently developing, that we need to work in close
19 coordination with those projects in order to develop the
20 specific details of the evaluation component.

21 Do you have any questions on the scope?

22 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Board Member Paparian, any
23 questions?

24 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Actually, I'll just
25 mention that I'm happy to see this item, that the staff is

1 doing this sort of evaluation and being proactive about
2 it.

3 As part of our strategic plan, I think we looked
4 at doing these sorts of valuations. And, again, the fact
5 that staff is being proactive and going forward with this
6 I think is very good.

7 So I'd like to move -- I guess we should do it
8 one at a time. I'd like to move positive consideration of
9 Resolution 2002-272. And I think that would be an
10 appropriate item for the consent agenda as well.

11 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: I will second that.

12 And we'll take a roll call vote on this.

13 SECRETARY SINGH: Moulton-Patterson?

14 Paparian?

15 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Aye.

16 SECRETARY SINGH: Medina?

17 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Aye.

18 Okay. The resolution passes.

19 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: And then we have --
20 the next one would be 2002-271.

21 Would that be appropriate for consent as well?
22 Any Problem?

23 So I'd like to move positive consideration of
24 Resolution 2002-271 and recommend that for consent as
25 well.

1 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: And I second that.

2 We'll substitute the previous roll call vote on
3 this one. Thank you.

4 Thank you, Ms. Williams, for your presentation.

5 MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you.

6 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: With that, we'll go to the
7 beginning of the agenda.

8 And that will be "B" on the agenda and agenda
9 Item 17, for board purposes.

10 So, Ms. Gildart, if you would proceed, please.

11 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

12 Thank you, Chairman.

13 I'm Martha Gildart with the Special Waste
14 Division.

15 This item lays out the dollars available in the
16 Waste Tire Management Fund that could be reallocated this
17 year to fully expend the fund.

18 If you would look at the handout that was just
19 given you, I've prepared a status report that I'm going to
20 go over very briefly to describe some of the projects that
21 were undertaken this year.

22 The longer table, it says "Status Report on the
23 5-year Plan for the Waste Tire Recycling Management
24 Program."

25 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: The handout is on its way.

1 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

2 What we've done here is just try to follow the
3 order of projects as listed in the 5-year plan and show
4 what was accomplished on each of those.

5 For example, the first entry is under the
6 Enforcement Grant Program, where \$2 million had been
7 allocated. We have completed two cycles. In October, the
8 Board approved the award of six grants for a total of over
9 \$600,000; and then on the agenda for next week are two
10 additional grants for \$86,000.

11 So we've gone through the 5-year plan listing
12 them. I'd like you to note that under the remediation
13 category, you will see some words in italic font, "The
14 Department of Toxic Substances Control and Regional Water
15 Quality Control Board." Those interagency agreements were
16 not part of the original 5-year plan and are being funded
17 from the reallocation dollars available. But because the
18 Board has already encumbered those monies, they are
19 automatically directed to those uses.

20 On that same page you'll notice there are two
21 projects in red, as well as on the back of that page there
22 are two additional projects in red. Those are the only
23 four projects that we were unable to undertake this fiscal
24 year. And those are part of the monies that are available
25 now for the Board's consideration as reallocation.

1 That mathematics, if you would, is captured on
2 Table 1, which is Attachment 1 to your item. And this is
3 an abbreviated list because it's showing only those
4 projects from which there are funds available for
5 reallocation. Once again, it shows what was allocated in
6 the 5-year plan, what has been encumbered to date, and the
7 balance in those activities.

8 As you can see on the back, the second page of
9 the table, there's a little over \$2 million available.

10 If you have any questions about where any of
11 those funds came from, I can answer them now. And then we
12 can go on to a discussion of possible reuses for the
13 reallocated money.

14 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: I have a question in regard
15 to the total. The total is \$2,011,819 rather than
16 \$2,029,069?

17 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

18 Okay. What we have is the \$2,029,524 as
19 available, on -- shown on Table 1.

20 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Yes.

21 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Mr. Chairman, I'm
22 sorry. I'm not sure which chart to work from, because the
23 chart I have does show it, \$2,011,000 that Mr. Medina just
24 mentioned.

25 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

1 What is the number --

2 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: I was handed a
3 revised Table 2 --

4 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

5 That is for the uses of the dollars. That's not
6 available dollars. We haven't gotten to that table yet.

7 We're dealing -- the Table 1, Attachment 1 in the packet
8 shows what's available. And that comes to the \$2,029,524.

9 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Okay. That has not
10 changed?

11 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

12 That has not changed. I was merely trying--

13 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: So when you say the uses of
14 the money, that's the way that the monies are being
15 proposed to be spent --

16 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

17 Yeah, the recommended uses --

18 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: And the other is the
19 available --

20 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

21 Exactly.

22 There were two charts handed out. Now, one is
23 not in your packets. It's not a revision. It's new
24 information, because we'd had questions about activities
25 we had not shown on Table 1 that was in the packet. They

1 wanted to know what had happened to some of the projects
2 that were successfully completed. So the handout shows
3 all activities and their funding and what has been done.
4 Table 1, as Attachment 1, shows only those activities from
5 which there are funds available, pretty much. So it's
6 just a little more description.

7 Table 2, which we've handed out as a revision, is
8 the recommendation from the staff on how to use those
9 available dollars.

10 But this is what is open for discussion.

11 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Could I ask a couple
12 questions about Table 1, just as --

13 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Certainly. Go ahead.

14 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: The signage for
15 CalTrans rec projects that didn't go forward, was CalTrans
16 approached on this about whether they would be willing to
17 do that and --

18 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

19 We've been having discussions with them, yes. It
20 is more difficult than we had anticipated when this
21 project was proposed on the 5-year plan. They have some
22 very strict specifications on how signs should be made and
23 what they should look like and where they go and when they
24 go in. And we've not reached an agreement yet.

25 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Okay. So unlikely

1 you could do so this fiscal year, I take it.

2 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

3 Correct.

4 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Is your expectation

5 then in a future fiscal year we would come back and have

6 some amount of money in this magnitude?

7 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

8 We can continue to pursue that, yes.

9 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: And then following up

10 on the discussion from this morning, I had heard that

11 there was talk of another million dollars somewhere at the

12 Committee meeting on Monday. And I just want to be clear,

13 was there a discussion along those lines? I heard that

14 Mr. Eaton in bringing things up somehow brought up that

15 there was an additional million.

16 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

17 The only million I'm aware of is the emergency

18 reserve account, which is not a C&P line item. It is not

19 available for reallocation if our interpretation is

20 correct.

21 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Yes. I was at that

22 meeting and -- excuse me. Terry Jordan. And I'm not

23 aware of a million dollars that's available. The

24 emergency reserve account is not within the C&P

25 allocation. It is within our expenditure authority.

1 However, it is there specifically in case of a fire or
2 some other catastrophic event; and is not appropriate to
3 use it until they complete closure of the year. It does
4 roll forward into the reserve.

5 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Okay. And then in
6 terms of the total tire account, any guess as to how much
7 money will be unallocated at the end of this year?

8 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Excuse us just one
9 second.

10 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Yes.

11 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: As Martha had mentioned
12 this morning in the agenda briefing, from our review of
13 all the dollars that have been expended, what's proposed
14 in the reallocation item, there is no available funding
15 past the emergency reserve of a million dollars.

16 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: By available funding,
17 you mean available for C&P, right, or do you mean total?

18 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

19 Maybe what's a little uncertain here is the
20 difference between the authorization that this agency was
21 granted through the Budget Act versus how many dollars
22 have accumulated in the fund since the SB 876 fee came
23 into existence. Our authorization only started in July.
24 We were approved to spend the roughly \$30 million that
25 were available from the fee as it was deposited from July

1 to this June. Because the fee came into effect last
2 January, there's about six months of the fee being paid
3 into the fund that was not part of the appropriation that
4 the Budget Act authorized us to expend.

5 I, at one time, saw something that led me to
6 believe that might have been as much as \$12 million, but I
7 have no real certainty on that figure. I suppose we could
8 get you an accounting of that, but we're not able to spend
9 that.

10 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Let me try to clarify.

11 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Let me -- maybe if I
12 ask the question a little bit differently.

13 If you looked at all the income to the tire fund
14 in the current fiscal year, and you subtracted out all the
15 expenditures from the current fiscal year, would there be
16 a balance of more than a million dollars?

17 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

18 Yes.

19 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: And about how much
20 are we talking? Are we talking close to a million dollars
21 or --

22 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Well, the way that it's
23 accounted for is that you -- the monies in the fund,
24 including the revenues coming in -- there is a reserve,
25 and that's based on the first six months of the fee that

1 went into effect. That reserve was approximately -- close
2 to \$12 million. That rolls forward for this fiscal year.

3 However, we only have expenditure authority for
4 this year. So there's still a reserve that's beyond what
5 we have authority to spend. And if I'm not mistake, our
6 expenditure authority is around 31 million. What we're
7 saying is that the only monies left of the 31 million that
8 we had expenditure authority for is the 1 million reserve,
9 assuming that this reallocation item moves forward and
10 some salary savings are not to be used for operating
11 expenses due to vacancy issues.

12 Beyond that, we've done a thorough accounting of
13 it, and they're done -- the tire program has done an
14 excellent job of moving the monies in the way that the
15 5-year plan has indicated.

16 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Okay. So --

17 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: So that \$1 million, can that
18 be added to the \$2 million that is before us today?

19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Well, we've advised the
20 program is that it should not. The reason being, it's
21 very specific with what the expenditure authorization is
22 for. And that is -- the \$1 million reserve is
23 specifically for emergencies. Granted, we're already in
24 May. But if the fire were to occur in June, or something
25 else that required our emergency response and the use of

1 those funds, then we would not have them available. We
2 would have locked them up into either grants or contracts.

3 At that point, it becomes very difficult to go
4 back to the administration and say, "Well, we spent it
5 all. Yes, we did have a million dollar reserve, but we no
6 longer do because we spent it for other things." And so
7 it becomes very tedious and very difficult and is not
8 looked upon lightly with regards to trying to dip into the
9 remainder of our reserve, which is obviously sufficient.
10 But that's why they asked us to set aside the \$1 million
11 reserve that is currently in this fiscal year.

12 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: So you couldn't take
13 the salary savings that you talked about and apply that to
14 the reserve?

15 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Apply it to the reserve
16 or to the C&P --

17 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: If we were to use
18 some of the reserve for other purposes, could you backfill
19 the reserve with salary savings?

20 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: No, no.

21 Within the bottom line of the expenditure
22 authority the salary savings are being very closely
23 watched. There has been a vacancy issue that the
24 Legislature has been addressing for at least two years
25 now. And they're very adamant that there's a shell game

1 going on. So we do not spend those salary-savings dollars
2 on operating expenses, which is what you're asking us to
3 do or talking about doing.

4 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Yeah. Now, together
5 with the salary, there's also overhead associated with the
6 salaries, right?

7 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Correct, and those
8 monies --

9 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: -- that we're not
10 spending because we don't have positions that are filled.

11 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: In operating expenses?

12 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Yes.

13 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: There may be a little
14 bit. It's not significant.

15 Do you know, Bert, if any -- excuse me. This is
16 Bert Wenzel, who is a budget analyst within the
17 Administration and Finance Division.

18 Are you aware of any monies in operating expenses
19 that have not --

20 BUDGET ANALYST BERT WENZEL: I am not.

21 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Like I said, we've taken
22 a very hard look at this. We can take another look before
23 the Board meeting and be able to advise you.

24 But we are accounting for the consulting and
25 professional services, the salary savings, and most of the

1 operating expenses. And they're very well spent.

2 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Technically -- I
3 understand what you told me about the salary issue.
4 Technically, could you do what I suggested in terms of
5 backfilling the reserve if you used, you know, unspent
6 travel and other overhead-type items?

7 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Possibly that's -- you
8 know, that is a possibility. We'd have to take a look to
9 see what is available.

10 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Okay. So what I'm
11 getting to with this is if -- at the end of the day next
12 week if we come up with more that we'd like to spend money
13 on than the \$2,026,000, I think it was, there may be some
14 possibility of some other source to cover that.

15 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Okay. We can take a
16 look at that and bring that back for you.

17 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Okay. Thank you.

18 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: We would have to do that for
19 the -- at this point, the June meeting?

20 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Oh, no. We'll bring it
21 forward before the Board meeting next week.

22 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Okay. Thank you.

23 Now, the \$1 million, is that considered a prudent
24 reserve? How is the amount arrived at?

25 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

1 That's specified in the statute, which is why
2 it's such an unusual fund mechanism. It's not something
3 this Board chose to do. The statute said that we will put
4 aside \$1 million each fiscal year for emergency reserve to
5 respond to fires or other such emergencies as they arose.
6 So in our discussions with, you know, the admin staff, the
7 feeling was that you would not know if such an emergency
8 might arise, you know, up until the end of the year. And
9 we would not have time to use those funds. So we were
10 leaving them untouched given that it's a statutory
11 direction.

12 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Okay. Thank you.

13 I have one more question. And, that is, in
14 regard to the materials that we have in the Board packet
15 and what was just handed out, now we have all the
16 materials and information that we need for the purposes of
17 today's meeting?

18 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

19 One table that you were handed out is an actual
20 revision of a table that's in your packet. It just shows
21 in red a little change. The other table I was just
22 providing because we'd had questions on what we had
23 actually accomplished in the program this year, and I
24 wanted to give a more detailed description. So that's
25 just sort of an FYI to help you understand what was

1 accomplished.

2 The Table 1 in the packet is where we actually do
3 the accounting for how many dollars are remaining. And
4 that's where we came to the \$2,029,524 available for
5 reallocation.

6 So if there are no more questions on what's
7 available, we could look at the staff recommendation of
8 how to reallocate it. And we have on the computer screen
9 a table we'd prepared to take any kinds of recommendations
10 from either of the Markets Committee or the Admin
11 Committee before we go to the Board meeting.

12 The Market Committee felt it would be best to
13 wait until the Board meeting to actually make any funding
14 recommendations. So you'll see that column listed as "no
15 action". We did have a discussion of the Committee, but
16 they didn't want to actually make any recommendations.

17 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Okay. Before we proceed on
18 to those -- I know I have a couple of additional
19 questions, and Board Member Paparian may have some as
20 well.

21 The questions that I had are, what are the
22 available mechanisms for spending the reallocation monies,
23 for example, existing contracts, interagency agreements,
24 augmentation of grants programs? Are there other means of
25 encumbering these funds?

1 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

2 Well, the items in the list, the revised Table 2
3 that we just handed out, those are recommendations the
4 staff is making based on previous Board direction and
5 action. For example, the RMDZ loan, where we show a
6 revised amount of \$318,750, is based on the Board's
7 approval of three loans to tire-related activities that
8 totaled \$2,318,750. So we are trying to follow up the
9 Board's approval by making that fund whole and adding
10 \$318,750.

11 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: And I understand that. But
12 my question has to do with is whether there are available
13 mechanisms.

14 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

15 These are the ones that we have had Board
16 direction on in the past. I am not aware of any other --

17 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: So there might be others,
18 but we have not had Board direction on them, is that what
19 you're saying?

20 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

21 Correct. There's been no action. For instance,
22 we will be bringing -- well, there's two items coming to
23 the Board next week that have a funding recommendation
24 associated with them. And there's going to be one in
25 June. That does not show up here because we have no way

1 of knowing if there may be funds left in that.

2 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: And are there any other
3 current ongoing programs that can be funded through these
4 reallocation funds other than the ones that are reflected
5 here?

6 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

7 My vacation plans.

8 I'm not aware of any others.

9 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Board Member Paparian, do
10 you have any --

11 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Just to follow up on
12 that. I mean the mechanisms we have available in the time
13 that we have available are basically interagency
14 agreements --

15 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: That's correct.

16 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: -- maybe a C-MAS, if
17 somebody was available on C-MAS to do that work, although
18 we're getting a little bit tight on time there.

19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Correct. A sole source,
20 which actually -- it's cutting it tight, but it might be
21 able to be done. Obviously, we've got one that Becky was
22 talking about that will be moving forward.

23 So sole source, MSA, C-MAS, interagency
24 agreements, and then augmenting current grant programs.

25 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

1 Which is what -- yeah, what is on the list right
2 now, these are ones that are in existence and can be
3 pretty easily encumbered. The only new one really is the
4 California District Attorney Association item. And that
5 is actually one that's been under discussion for several
6 months in trying to negotiate a mutually agreed-upon list
7 of terms and conditions.

8 So if we were to try to undertake the development
9 of a new interagency agreement between now and June, it
10 would definitely need the full cooperation of the other
11 entity to come to that agreement. They need to get out of
12 it something of use to them also.

13 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Right. So
14 technically we -- you know, presuming it's consistent with
15 the 5-year tire plan, we could have additional items on
16 here, but they would likely need to be either interagency
17 agreements, sole source or C-MAS related?

18 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: That's correct. The
19 only timing difficulty is -- if it's something new,
20 then -- and it's not on the current agenda for the May
21 Board meeting, then obviously we'd have to come to the
22 June Board meeting. And the only difficulty I could see
23 in that is timing with regards to having staff put
24 together a scope of work, the actual award item, and
25 getting it on the agenda timely for it to be done.

1 And, again, it's a timing mechanism. It's also
2 year-end closing time, so it's extremely difficult. But I
3 know that you understand that.

4 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Okay. Thank you.

5 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Okay. As we proceed through
6 these categories, we have a total of two thousand
7 twenty-nine five twenty-four available.

8 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

9 Two million. But, yes.

10 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: \$2,029,524 available. We
11 have in requests \$2,011,819. Leaving a balance of
12 \$177,000. Can you give me a balance -- a running balance
13 on that?

14 If we allocate all of those monies --

15 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

16 Yeah, It'll be a little over seventeen thousand.

17 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Yes. Seventeen thousand
18 seven zero five?

19 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

20 Yes.

21 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Okay. So let's run through
22 the -- Table 2, that's what we're working with?

23 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

24 Okay. The first item is a bit of a question mark
25 right now. We'll be having more of a discussion at the

1 Board.

2 Members of the Special Waste Market Development
3 Committee felt that we were not prepared -- they were not
4 prepared to act on the recommended scope of work. So they
5 took no action there. We will be discussing this at the
6 Board meeting as to whether or not the Board wishes to
7 bring it back in June to have a more complete
8 understanding of that scope.

9 So, at this time, we do not have an approved
10 scope and they did not take an action on that one.

11 It is -- if you'd like to have a brief
12 discussion, I could describe the intent.

13 The California District Attorney Association
14 provides an environmental circuit prosecutor to assist
15 local governments in prosecuting environmental crimes.
16 They have had funding from different sources in the past,
17 but had funding cut this last year.

18 We feel that this is a good way to supplement our
19 legal staff and assist the Tire Enforcement Program. And
20 particularly it supports the local government enforcement
21 grants. So we thought that this was a worthwhile activity
22 to fund.

23 If it is the Board's pleasure, it could be
24 considered next year. But we thought as there were monies
25 available, we might as well bring it forward at this time.

1 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: One clarification on
2 this one, the 318,000, would the anticipation be that that
3 would be all for work in the next fiscal year, or would
4 the work go beyond the next fiscal year?

5 At this point, we have a 2-year timeframe to that
6 contract for that funding.

7 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Okay. So if we went
8 forward -- if we decided to go forward with this, the
9 potential would be there to partially fund out of this
10 fiscal year's tire program and then come back and fund
11 again out of the next fiscal year?

12 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

13 That could be done.

14 It might depend on the commitment, you know, of
15 the CDAA. And we didn't have anyone here today. We had
16 someone at the Markets Committee. It might depend if they
17 felt -- I mean, we could probably enter into a scope of
18 work an agreement that had a 2-year timeframe with a
19 commitment to find the additional funds and bring it up to
20 a fully-funded level. I think that might be a
21 reassurance -- reassurance to CDAA, because I know we've
22 done other such contracts from two fiscal years.

23 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Yeah, I think we've
24 even done it out of the tire fund this year.

25 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: But as of this moment,

1 you're not inclined to move this forward?

2 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

3 I am. I think it's -- you know, this is the
4 staff recommendation up there, to put the money into that
5 interagency agreement. The Markets Committee was not
6 happy with the information they had available on the scope
7 of work. We are trying to put together some additional
8 information. I believe the legal office is putting
9 together a memo. I'm not quite sure if that's available
10 yet for the Board members.

11 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LEARY: Mark Leary with the
12 Waste Board.

13 Yes, that memo is following up on some of the
14 questions that arose in the Special Waste and Markets
15 Committee meeting. I followed up with a memo that the
16 Board members were CC'd on, providing -- hoping to provide
17 the additional information I was looking for. It was that
18 committee's wish, however, that this item, which is
19 ultimately Agenda Item 18, be continued till June, as we
20 discussed this morning in the workshop.

21 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: So --

22 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LEARY: So one option might be
23 that this committee, and subsequently the Board next week,
24 could hold that money -- set it aside basically awaiting
25 the Board's consideration of that issue in June. And then

1 if the Board were to view that agenda item favorably, we
2 would then be under the crunch to pull together and
3 unencumber the money before the end of the fiscal year.
4 That's one possible way this thing could play out.

5 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Okay. Well, let's move
6 forward on this.

7 Board Member Paparian, do you have a preference
8 on this particular item?

9 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: No -- I mean, I
10 think -- you know, at the end of the day today I think we
11 should have listed out at least all the various options
12 there are for Board consideration. And I think in terms
13 of this specific one, you know, one of the options would
14 be to split -- if we went forward, to split the funding
15 between this fiscal year and next fiscal year if we had
16 other worthy proposals out there for funding. And I know
17 I have one that I'm planning to bring up at the
18 appropriate time later today.

19 But, again, if we decided to go forward with
20 this, I could see, you know, something like 150,000 in
21 this fiscal year and then the balance in the following
22 fiscal year, something like that.

23 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

24 Okay. Well, then moving on to the next item. I
25 had used that sort of as an example earlier that this is

1 to make whole the loan programs' actions with tire
2 facilities and to carry out the Board direction to do so.
3 And that amount would be the \$318,750.

4 If there's no questions, then going on. The
5 track and other recreational --

6 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: I'm sorry. Let me
7 ask one question about the RMDZ. And this I think is
8 something that I suggested at a previous Board meeting,
9 and I'm glad to see it here. If -- how do I ask this?

10 If we didn't spend the whole 318,000 this year,
11 can we come back in the next fiscal year to make the RMDZ
12 program more whole? Or am I -- no, we'd have to do it
13 this fiscal year?

14 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: It has to be specific to
15 the loans that have been incurred that were tire related.

16 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Okay. And those were
17 in this fiscal year. So we'd have to come out of this
18 fiscal year's tire program. Got it. Thank you.

19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Correct.

20 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: And we have enough loan
21 requests in place to use up the amount requested?

22 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

23 Yeah, the total amount was the \$2,318,000. So
24 we're just adding to the \$2 million allocation to make it
25 whole.

1 There will be another \$2 million available next
2 year. In fact, I think we start to increase it. I could
3 look that up.

4 But we are planning, you know, an allocation of
5 tire dollars to the zone loan program each year.

6 Okay. Going on to the next item, the track and
7 other recreational surfaces.

8 This was a program where the Board approved the
9 ranking for the tire -- the track grants. And the total
10 funding requested was more than was available for those
11 passing grants. So the \$994,409 would fully fund all
12 passing applications for the Track and Recreational
13 Surfaces Grant program. And, once again, that was a Board
14 direction, to try to do this.

15 The same holds true for the Product
16 Commercialization Grants. We were able to fund, I think,
17 seven out of the eight and -- no, eight, I guess it was --
18 eight out of the nine. And there's one remaining that
19 passed. So to fully fund that program, we'd need an
20 additional 250,000.

21 The same -- the Park Playground and Accessibility
22 Grant, this is a little bit different. This is the
23 Park-Bond-Funded Grant Program. However, some of the
24 grant applications were requesting monies to put tire mats
25 in place. And because the total funding requested by

1 passing grant applications was greater than the money
2 allocated, the Board directed that we look to see if we
3 could fund any of those tire mats through the tire fund,
4 thereby freeing up park bond dollars for a couple of the
5 other passing applications. And this \$75,660 represents
6 funding for those tire activities within the Park Bond
7 Playground Grant program. And I believe that was a
8 suggestion by Board Member Jones, if I remember.

9 Okay. The next item, the Green Building Program
10 Emissions Testing, is a request from the Market
11 Development Division staff. They are entering into a
12 contract to test various recycled content materials to see
13 if they do meet certain standards. And they would like to
14 include tire materials such as, you know, carpet squares
15 that have rubber backing from tires. And they're asking
16 for an additional \$30,000 for that testing activity. When
17 the Board heard that scope of work, they expressed
18 interest in doing so. So we are bringing it forward now
19 for that \$30,000 reallocation.

20 The last item is the Grant Writing Workshop that
21 the Administration Division is bringing forward in a scope
22 of work. This would provide \$18,000 directly to the
23 contract and \$7,000, I believe, for some printing support
24 activities.

25 And that total comes to \$2,011,819.

1 And as Chairman Medina pointed out, there's about
2 \$17,000 not earmarked at this time, plus any changes that
3 you may wish to make at the Board.

4 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Very good.

5 I understand that there were two additional
6 requests. I know that we have one speaker's slip. And
7 before I get to that, I had heard from Chair
8 Moulton-Patterson there was a request from Secretary
9 Eileen Adams in regard to some RAC monies. But I have not
10 seen the letter from Eileen, that is, I have not seen the
11 specific request for those monies.

12 Does anyone have a copy of that correspondence?

13 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

14 The Executive Director mentioned it this morning,
15 and we'll certainly look at it in the week between now and
16 the Board meeting.

17 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Yeah, I think the
18 speaker may be speaking to this point -- the speaker's
19 slips you have.

20 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: No, that's another -- oh,
21 yeah. The East-End project?

22 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Yeah. Maybe we
23 should hear from them.

24 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Yes, and I have another
25 correspondence also. So let's take this one first.

1 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

2 In my very brief look at the proposal --

3 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Well, we have Arnie Sowell.

4 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

5 Oh, you want to take the speaker first?

6 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Yes.

7 And then we have another one from San Francisco
8 Environment.

9 We'll take Arnie first.

10 MR. SOWELL: Board Member Medina, Board Member
11 Paparian, Arnie Sowell with the State Consumer Services
12 Agency.

13 It's interesting that I find myself on this side
14 of the microphone. I generally am up there or
15 traditionally had been up there helping you to make
16 funding recommendations and funding decisions. And I'm
17 here today actually asking you to consider a funding
18 request from the State Consumer Services Agency in
19 conjunction with the Department of General Services
20 regarding the Easte-End Project.

21 As you are well aware, Governor Davis has issued
22 a couple of executive orders around sustainable building,
23 and particularly Executive Order D16 and Executive Order
24 D46, both dealing with that specific topic.

25 One of the first projects that we, as a

1 sustainable building task force, dealt with was the
2 Easte-End Project. And through a number of meetings, a
3 number of fits and starts and some very emotionally
4 charged meetings, we were able to incorporate a variety of
5 sustainable building features into the Easte-End Project.

6 I have with me today Mike Merideth and Jim Ogden,
7 who are both project directors over there at the Easte-End
8 complex. And they will speak specifically to our request
9 here today.

10 But I did want to spend just a couple of seconds
11 telling you some of the things that we've been able to
12 accomplish out at the Easte-End.

13 We're 30 percent better than Title 24 in terms of
14 energy efficiency. We have photovoltaics on one of the
15 buildings.

16 Block 225, which is the building where the
17 education -- Department of Education will move into or one
18 of the buildings that they'll move into probably late in
19 June, is available for you guys to tour any time you'd
20 like.

21 But, you know, sensors in -- room-occupancy
22 sensors; recycled-content carpet; the modular furniture
23 specifics that we worked with your staff to develop, which
24 are considered some of the greenest modular furniture
25 specifications in the world. There's indoor air quality

1 testing that's going on. There are a variety of different
2 recycled-content materials that have been incorporated
3 into all the structures that are there. They have
4 achieved a 97-percent waste diversion rate in terms of
5 construction and demolition debris.

6 And I just can't say enough about the work of the
7 folks out at the East-End regarding this particular
8 project as well as the work of the green team and the
9 Waste Board staff in pushing to ensure the fact that
10 sustainable building measures were incorporated into that
11 project.

12 What we've come to discuss with you today is that
13 as a result of some of that urging and some of that
14 pushing, we think that we've found three separate issues
15 that would qualify for tire funding in relationship to
16 this project.

17 One, would be to increase the amount of
18 rubberized asphalt concrete that's being used on the road
19 surfacing around there.

20 Two, would be that there is a day-care center, a
21 playground that is there that was originally spec for
22 mulch that we think we can use rubberized surfacing for.

23 And, thirdly, there is definitely the opportunity
24 to use a variety of tire-derived mat products in a number
25 of the different interest ways as well as in a number of

1 the different activity rooms and those sorts of things
2 that are there at the Easte-End complex.

3 But if you just give Mike and Jim just a couple
4 of seconds where they can actually explain the diagram
5 that you've seen, because I think there's a real
6 opportunity for some showcase -- a showcase for rubberized
7 asphalt concrete.

8 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Thank you.

9 If you could make it brief.

10 MR. MERIDETH: Good afternoon. I'm Mike Merideth
11 with General Services. I'm the project director for the
12 Easte-End Project. And I'll be more than happy to be
13 brief.

14 I believe Arnie has passed this diagram up to
15 you. The cross-hatched areas represent the roads around
16 the project site. There's five city blocks basically that
17 we're covering.

18 The yellow represents the roadway, which is
19 Capital Avenue between 15th and 17th Street. That was
20 kind of inclusive within the project boundaries. And what
21 we're presenting here is -- that was in the original
22 scope. And today what Arnie had alluded to was looking
23 for these additional opportunities, and that equates to
24 about 318,000 square feet. And we believe that to be
25 about \$220,000.

1 We've reached that conclusion through the help of
2 the Rubberized Asphalt Concrete Technology Center and, in
3 particular, Theron Roshin over there at their help center.

4 Actually, that I think is really the scope and
5 hopefully identifies specifically what we're talking
6 about. And I'd be glad to answer any other questions if
7 you have those.

8 But that's pretty much what I had to say.

9 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Okay. Thank you.

10 MR. SOWELL: I'm not sure if you're interested in
11 what we're talking about in relationship to the playground
12 surfacing as well as in some of the additional
13 tire-derived products. But in total we're asking for
14 about \$250,000 -- \$220,000 for the rubberized asphalt
15 concrete, about \$10,000 in terms of some of the additional
16 tire-derived products, and then the remainder --

17 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Okay. If you could hold
18 just a second, just run that down one by one, please.

19 MR. SOWELL: Sure. About \$220,000 for the
20 additional rubberized asphalt concrete at the site;
21 \$10,000 for additional tire-derived products in terms of
22 matting materials and those sorts of things; and then
23 about \$20,000 for the playground surfacing.

24 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Would it be possible
25 to get those added into this matrix here so we could see

1 it in comparison to the others? Is someone able to type?

2 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Okay. Thank you for your
3 presentation. It's good to see you again. And it looks
4 like a wonderful project.

5 MR. SOWELL: Thank you. And on behalf of
6 Secretary Adams, thank you very much.

7 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

8 Excuse me. I do have a question of Arnie.

9 Obviously, the Board can develop this as a
10 special project if they wish to find the funding for it.
11 But I do have a couple of questions. Your playground is
12 not open to the public, correct? This is only for people
13 using the facility?

14 MR. SOWELL: There is a day-care center there,
15 and it would primarily be for the day-care center. But
16 the Easte-End complex is going to be a complex where there
17 are going to be a variety of community activities. So
18 there are going to be community meetings and those sorts
19 of things. And, at that particular point in time, that
20 day-care center would be open to the public.

21 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:

22 I bring this up -- the grant program is directed
23 at public facilities only. Now, you know, as a special
24 project the Board can make some other conditions. I'm not
25 saying we can't fund it, but I just wanted to understand

1 whether this would be a publicly available facility.

2 The other point -- and I don't know if Arnie's
3 involvement goes far enough back. I have a very long
4 memory. Back I think in the '97-'98 fiscal year this
5 Board made an award to the Department of General Services
6 of \$198,000, paid in advance, to make a parking lot at the
7 Department of Justice facility out on Broadway. As I
8 understand it, that project was only completed last Fall.

9 At the time that we entered into the agreement
10 with DGS, they committed to doing at least four additional
11 projects with their own money as a way of, you know,
12 making up for the grant that we gave them. I am not aware
13 of any such projects having been funded by DGS. I was
14 wondering if Arnie had heard of any such paving projects
15 to fulfill that commitment by DGS?

16 MR. SOWELL: Martha, at this particular point in
17 time, to answer that question, I can't answer that
18 question directly, but I would be happy to look into that
19 further. What I can tell you is that in every project
20 that's been coming before the Sustainable Building Task
21 Force, we're looking for every opportunity that we
22 possibly can for all sorts of recycled-content materials,
23 the use of rubberized asphalt concrete, et cetera.

24 And so I can tell you that there have been other
25 projects that have been done. Whether that they've been

1 done using, I guess, the original scope that may have been
2 developed back in '97-'98, I can't speak to that.

3 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Here's what I want to
4 recommend. And that's that we go ahead and approve those
5 that we have consensus on, the RMDZ loan, track and other
6 recreational surfaces, product commercialization grants,
7 park playground and accessibility grants, green building
8 program emissions, grant writing workshop; and then add
9 Easte-End Project in the amount of 250; San Francisco
10 environment in the amount of 25, which we have a request
11 for. The California District Attorney for 318 has three
12 options. The Board has requested to see all the items of
13 our committee, so we will let the full Board work it out
14 among those three requests.

15 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Mr. Chairman, I
16 actually do have one additional request.

17 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Add it on and we will take
18 that for Board consideration.

19 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: And that's the
20 feasibility study of establishment of a California tire
21 research center at a California academic institution, of
22 up to \$30,000. I do have a written proposal related to
23 this. And it is consistent with the 5-year tire plan.
24 It's a project which was not pursued this year because of
25 the staffing difficulties, but one which was called out

1 specifically in the 5-year tire plan and one which we also
2 had a good discussion of -- gosh, it was at the Glendale
3 board meeting when we adopted the 5-year tire plan, we
4 actually had a good discussion of this proposal as well.

5 The original anticipation was that the staff
6 would be able to pursue this on their own. But, again,
7 because of the understandable staffing difficulties, they
8 haven't been able to pursue it. So I am suggesting the
9 possibility of an interagency agreement to begin looking
10 at the feasibility of this. So that would be -- I mean,
11 you could title it "California Tire Research Center,"
12 \$30,000.

13 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Very good. Let's do this:
14 Let's end that one, along with San Francisco enviro, if
15 you have no objections with Board recommendation and those
16 other items, and then just leave the California District
17 Attorney Association and the Easte-End Project open for
18 the Board members. And then if you could give us a total
19 of those at this time.

20 So, again, we want the total on the RMDZ loan,
21 track, product commercialization, park playground, green
22 building program, grant writing workshop, San Francisco
23 enviro, and the project that Mr. Paparian just presented.
24 And give us a total on those.

25 And then the remainder we will bring up to the

1 Board members as to their preference on the other two
2 items.

3 Mr. Paparian, any -- is that fine with you?

4 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: I'm sorry, where --
5 Easte-End just dropped out there?

6 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Yeah, Easte-End Project and
7 the California District Attorney Association we'll take up
8 before the full board meeting in terms of how they want to
9 reallocate the balance remaining between those two.

10 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Okay.

11 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: But as of now we are moving
12 forward with \$318,750 RMDZ loan; \$994,409 for track and
13 other recreational surfaces; \$250,000 for the product
14 commercialization grants; \$75,660 for the park playground
15 and accessibility grants; \$30,000 for the green building
16 program emissions testing; \$25,000 for the grant writing
17 workshop; and \$25,000 for San Francisco environmental; and
18 the \$30,000 for the California Tire Research Center.

19 SUPERVISING WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER GILDART:
20 Does that reflect the wishes of the Committee,
21 that column, now?

22 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Yes.

23 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Yeah, I'm fine with
24 moving this forward to the Board in this way. I think
25 that the Easte-End project is so -- I think some of our

1 friends from there are still here. No, they're not.
2 Anyway, I think there's quite a bit of worthiness there.
3 And I want to, you know, consider as we go forward to the
4 Board meeting how we might come up with that money. And I
5 think some of the creative ways we talked about today of
6 coming up with additional funds may help move that along.

7 And, at the same time, perhaps we can figure out
8 a way to move forward with the California District
9 Attorney Association for one fiscal year's worth of
10 funding, with the anticipation that more would come in the
11 following fiscal year.

12 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Certainly both of those
13 projects are worthy of consideration. And I'm sure the
14 full Board would give it their undivided attention next
15 week.

16 And would you like to move the resolution at this
17 time, Board Member Paparian?

18 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: I'll so move.

19 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Okay. Resolution 2002-213,
20 concepts to be funded from the reallocation of unused
21 Fiscal Year 2001-2002 Waste Tire Management Program funds,
22 has been moved and seconded.

23 And we'll substitute the previous roll call.

24 Thank you.

25 With that, we'll move on to Item C.

1 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Terry Jordan again with
2 the Administration and Finance Division.

3 Item C will be presented by Roger Ikemoto of the
4 Grants Administration Unit in the Administration and
5 Finance Division.

6 And he will also present Item D, Item C being
7 equivalent to Board Item Number 30 and D being equivalent
8 to Board Item Number 31.

9 These two items are interrelated with regards to
10 one being a scope of work and one being a recommendation
11 for contractor through an interagency agreement with
12 Sacramento State university.

13 MR. IKEMOTO: Good afternoon, Chair and Board
14 Members.

15 For the record, I am Roger Ikemoto, Supervisor of
16 the Grants Administration and Audits Unit in the
17 Administration and Finance Division.

18 I am here today to present Agenda Items C and D.
19 They are Items Number 30 and 31 on the May full board
20 agenda.

21 Item C, consideration of scope of work for the
22 grant writing workshop and outreach contracts.

23 The purpose of this item is to approve the scope
24 of work for the grant writing workshop and outreach
25 contracts that will provide outreach and training

1 workshops for potential applicants to understand the
2 expected responses to our grant applications.

3 Their are five working tasks. Basically, the
4 first one is curriculum. The Grants Administration Unit
5 and program staff will work with the contractor to design
6 and customize curriculum for the workshop. There also
7 will be a train -- the trainer component, which the
8 contractor will instruct board staff so we can use that
9 knowledge for everyday business to help applicants with --
10 complete the application.

11 The second task is workshop and outreach venues.
12 The Grants and Administration Unit and program staff will
13 work with the contractor to finalize workshop locations.
14 And they'll also help the -- we'll also help the
15 contractor to develop a workplan, handle logistics, and
16 coordinate each workshop. The contractor will do the
17 majority of the work, but we'll help assist him when we
18 can.

19 The third task is the workshop itself. There
20 will be up to six workshops throughout California. And
21 we'll try to schedule these at board venues. For example,
22 there is the tire conference, the HHW Conference, RMDZ
23 conference, the oil forum, and the recycling -- recycled
24 products trade show. We'll try to use those venues.

25 The recommended locations are Redding or

1 Mendocino, Sacramento, Fresno, Los Angeles, maybe two
2 sites, and then maybe San Diego. Those will be dependent
3 upon where the venues of Board-sponsored events are being
4 held.

5 The fourth task actually is the outreach. We'll
6 do some advertising of the workshops, with the
7 distribution of literature of the Board programs. And
8 those are the grants brochure and any fact sheets that we
9 might have here. We can distribute these at the
10 workshops.

11 At the workshop we can have introductions, kind
12 of a brief presentation as an introduction to the
13 workshop, describing some of the programs that we
14 currently have here at the Board. And also one of the
15 things we'll have available is the literature to be handed
16 out by Board staff at Board-sponsored events and
17 nonsponsored events that we participate in.

18 There will be the fifth task, would be reporting
19 requirement. After each workshop, we'd have the
20 contractor provide an interim report listing all the
21 attendees and evaluate the evaluation data that's
22 collected from each of these workshops.

23 The final report will be a list of all locations
24 that the workshops were held, the number of people that
25 were attending, who they were and who they represented.

1 Along with that will be an evaluation of the
2 workshop agreement. That will be done by the contractor,
3 and a summary of the workshop evaluations from all of the
4 workshops.

5 The fiscal impact is \$50,000. The funding source
6 is from three sources:

7 \$17,000 from the Integrated Waste Management
8 Account, which was approved by the Board in the
9 reallocation item at April 2002 meeting.

10 The \$18,000 will come from the tire recycling
11 management account, and that's going to be heard at this
12 Board meeting in May.

13 There's going to be \$15,000 from the used-oil
14 fund. Requesting the balance of the \$15,000 from the
15 used-oil fund will be approved today -- we're asking for
16 approval today due to the timing and the need for the
17 immediacy of the contract. In Fiscal Year 2002-2003 the
18 used-oil fund allocation item is proposed to be presented
19 for Board consideration in the Summer of 2002.

20 At this time, staff recommends that the Board
21 approve the scope of work for the grant writing workshop
22 and outreach contract as presented and adopt Resolution
23 Number 2002-265.

24 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Thank you, Mr. Ikemoto. As
25 you can tell from previous Board meetings, that our Board

1 members see a great need and are very supportive of this.

2 So thank you for your presentation today.

3 MR. IKEMOTO: Thank you.

4 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Mr. Paparian.

5 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Mr. Chairman, I'll
6 move Resolution 2002-265 for positive consideration and to
7 be placed on consent.

8 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Thank you.

9 Second.

10 And substitute the previous roll call.

11 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: And then on
12 Resolution 2002-266 -- is this appropriate for consent?

13 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LEARY: Abbreviated fiscal
14 consent.

15 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Fiscal consent.

16 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LEARY: It will be presented
17 at the Board in an abbreviated fashion for a Board vote
18 because it is a fiscal matter.

19 COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPARIAN: Okay. I'd move
20 positive consideration with forwarding to the Board in
21 that manner.

22 CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Very good.

23 I second it.

24 And Resolution 2002-266 has been moved and
25 seconded.

1 And substitute the previous roll call for that.

2 Any public comment?

3 If not, this meeting is adjourned.

4 (Thereupon the California Integrated

5 Waste Management Board, Budget and

6 Administration Committee meeting

7 adjourned at 2:45 p.m.)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2 I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand
3 Reporter of the State of California, and Registered
4 Professional Reporter, do hereby certify:

5 That I am a disinterested person herein; that the
6 foregoing California Integrated Waste Management Board,
7 Budget and Administration Committee meeting was reported
8 in shorthand by me, James F. Peters, a Certified Shorthand
9 Reporter of the State of California, and thereafter
10 transcribed into typewriting.

11 I further certify that I am not of counsel or
12 attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any
13 way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
15 this 13th day of May, 2002.

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23 JAMES F. PETERS, CSR, RPR
24 Certified Shorthand Reporter
25 License No. 10063